affinity setting broken with MPI

With the MPI builds (i.e GMX_MPI=ON) the affinity setting seems to be broken. While affinity setting works with the exact same build and launch configuration when compiling with thread_mpi, with MPI I get the warning suggesting that affinity setting is not supported by the current platform.

This means that tMPI_Thread_setaffinity_support() returns TMPI_SETAFFINITY_SUPPORT_NO which suggests that HAVE_PTHREAD_SETAFFINITY is not defined. I suspect a bug in the build system.

As this bug will result in no affinities set in all MPI runs, it will cause considerable performance regression - especially at high parallelization where OpenMP is used.

Associated revisions

Revision 78569369 - 07/01/2013 08:03 PM - Sander Pronk

Comprehensive hwinfo structure concurrency fix.

The hwinfo structure and structures contained therein are inherently global to any mdrun processes/ranks. This patch makes sure that
- The hwinfo structure is shared among all threads
- Only one thread creates a hwinfo structure
- The hwinfo structure is safe to read for all threads after they obtain it

In addition, it fixes the detection for pthread_setaffinity in thread_mpi.

This fixes concurrency issues with thread affinity settings with or without MPI, and makes runner.c slightly easier to read because the concurrency logic is pushed to gmx_detect_hardware.c

Fixes #1270, #1254

Note that #1254 issue 3 seems to be an OpenMPI bug.

Change-Id: I236e81923324d7873f3d8633889b91c7c02a7843
The bug was introduced by 972ab1f9 which moved the code that does the HAVE_PTHREAD_SETAFFINITY in to the TMPI_ENABLE macro which is called only with thread_mpi. The solution is moving this check out similarly to the way TMPI_TEST_ATOMICS is done.

Ugh. Thanks for the diagnosis!

- Assignee changed from Berk Hess to Sander Pronk

- Status changed from New to Fix uploaded

- Status changed from Fix uploaded to Resolved

- % Done changed from 0 to 100

Applied in changeset 78569369348e07a300a03f90e667e61879858025.

- Status changed from Resolved to Closed

Sander Pronk wrote:

Applied in changeset 78569369348e07a300a03f90e667e61879858025.

Closing this issue, but I wanted to note that the change seems to be causing yet another problem, see #1334.