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Description

mdp_opt.html says:
sc-coul: (no)
Whether to apply the soft core free energy interaction transformation to the Coulombic interaction of a molecule. Default is no, as it is generally more efficient to turn off the Coulombic interactions linearly before turning off the van der Waals interactions.

But with a single component lambda, which is (currently) by far the most common setup, it gets turned on (without note) when is was set to no or not set in the mdp file.
Note that I think we can't change this behavior, since that would make many of the FE setups out there produce incorrect results. But the manual should describe this behavior clearly.

Associated revisions

Revision a19ebef3 - 12/01/2014 10:10 AM - Berk Hess
Added warning for unnecessary soft-core

The sc-coul mdp option is, presently, only active with lambda states.
grompp now issues a warning when using soft-core without Van der Waals decoupling without lambda states.
Also fixed an incorrect twin-range grompp check with PME.

Refs #1421.
Change-id: I0605fe0f735d69f96f478612a00434e6232f

Revision b89ea581 - 05/04/2015 11:35 PM - Mark Abraham
Update reference warnings for new grompp check

The simple FE setup is one that we think is used often, and there the warning is useful, and the test setups invoke such a setup, so we may as well ensure that the tests check that that warning works.

Refs #1421, #1722
Change-id: I0d974b50db4a4e0986815ec6c4064e3c4738e0ef
Revision 7d62d8f6 - 05/06/2015 10:59 PM - Mark Abraham
Fixed recently-introduced couple-lambda warning message
The grompp warning was not issued correctly. Any simulation that ran
was unaffected.
Refs #1421
Fixes #1722
Change-id: lb582d3749c283dbb03504c5ec09f854c518e11a5

Revision 262bf922 - 05/12/2015 11:52 AM - Rossen Apostolov
Added a note about sc-coul being auto turned on.
The documentation didn't mention that the soft-core potential
is automatically switched on in the case of a single component
lambda.
Fixes #1421.
Change-id: lc478b8b1a68c9ef08764946337f72008808bb2b3

History
#1 - 06/12/2014 05:04 PM - Gerrit Code Review Bot
Gerrit received a related patchset '1' for Issue #1421.
Uploader: Rossen Apostolov (rossen@kth.se)
Change-id: lc478b8b1a68c9ef08764946337f72008808bb2b3
Gerrit URL: https://gerrit.gromacs.org/3586

#2 - 06/12/2014 05:24 PM - Rossen Apostolov
- Status changed from New to Fix uploaded

#3 - 11/09/2014 07:30 PM - Szilárd Páll
GROMACS 4.6 broke the behavior of the original implementation and what's worse is that it did it without letting the user know. It's also unfortunate that it's been 10 months and the issue has never been addressed (not to mention that with tens of microseconds of useless data).

As mentioned on gerrit, IMO correcting the manual smells like trying to cover up an inconvenient mistake. Instead, I think we should make sure we let users know about it:

- grompp should issue a warning and inform the user about the workaround (setting sc-alpha = 0);
- we may want to issue an mdrun note too given that this bug has been present in the code since the first 4.6 release and in the nearly two years since there have probably been many tpr's generated with unintended settings;
- announce the issue on the mailing lists ASAP.

While the bug does not result in incorrect results, it can and likely has caused huge efficiency decrease in users' simulation. In our runs my preliminary estimate is that sampling efficiency can drop by up to 10x when using the published recommendation of employing linear lambda scaling for these soft-cored Coulombic interactions.

I believe that this is severe enough that it warrants a fix in 4.6 too (even if no 4.6.8 gets released) and announced on the users list sooner rather than
Can you be slightly more specific about mathematical form? I think one source of confusion is that there's a lot going on in the free energy code (several different individually correct but mutually incompatible ways of doing things), and exactly what is wrong not entirely clear (at least to me), since I haven't used the code in the way that would lead to the behavior your are describing.

I'll try to state what I understand let you fill in the ambiguities. Things I think I know:

1. This is something that happens when fep-lambda is used (not when coul-lambda and vdw-lambda are used).

Things I'm not certain about:

1. Whether vector of lambda states are set, or just a single lambda state (I'm not sure if this matters, but thought I'd check)
2. What sigma and epsilon are in in states A and B (this definitely matters in the code logic).
3. By sampling efficiency being broken do you mean that the dhdf's are very large? Or that the correlation times are changing? I can't physically picture.
4. What is the potential energy as a function of lambda that you want, and what is the potential energy as a function of lambda that you are getting. Do you want a soft-core electrostatics and lennard Jones simultaneously, or something different?

But with a single component lambda, which is (currently) by far the most common setup, it gets turned on (without note) when is was set to no or not set in the mdp file.

Szilárd Páll wrote:

GROMACS 4.6 broke the behavior of the original implementation and what's worse is that it did it without letting the user know. It's also unfortunate that it's been 10 months and the issue has never been addressed (not to mention that we ended up with tens of microseconds of useless data).

As mentioned on gerrit, IMO correcting the manual smells like trying to cover up an inconvenient mistake. Instead, I think we should make sure we let users know about it:

- grompp should issue a warning and inform the user about the workaround (setting sc-alpha = 0);
- we may want to issue an mdrun note too given that this bug has been present in the code since the first 4.6 release and in the nearly two years since there have probably been many tpr's generated with unintended settings;
- announce the issue on the mailing lists ASAP.

While the bug does not result in incorrect results, it can and likely has caused huge efficiency decrease in users' simulation. In our runs my preliminary estimate is that sampling efficiency can drop by up to 10x when using the published recommendation of employing linear lambda scaling for these soft-cored Coulombic interactions.

I believe that this is severe enough that it warrants a fix in 4.6 too (even if no 4.6.8 gets released) and announced on the users list sooner rather than later.
Correction: I thought the issue was a silent change in behavior from 4.5 to 4.6 as well (as well as an inconsistency in the behavior), but it turns out
that this is not the case because the sc-coul: (no) option did not exist in 4.5.

Hence, the bug is a lesser one, "only" an internal GROMACS inconsistency in the free energy implementation. Unless I'm mistaken, formulating a
FEP setup for perturbing electrostatics interactions using the "old" couple_lambda/foreign_lambda settings will result in soft-core being applied (by
default), while using the "new" setup, i.e. @coul_lambdas" to accomplish the same will result in no soft-core being applied to the electrostatics - which
is obviously more efficient.

So my initial assessment that this is a serious problem was not entirely correct as the "old" behavior of always using soft-core if sc-alpha is specified
has in fact been preserved, but only for the "old" setup. So I think this issue still warrants at least a grompp warning and clearly documented behavior
because it can easily create confusion and wasted compute time, e.g. if one is using the new setup but grabs some older mdp's to reproduce some
result and realizes after a long simulation that the results are not converging (because soft core is unexpectedly making the lambda coulomb
dependence non-linear). As option encoded in the tpr are not overridden, no run-time warning is necessary, I think.

Does that make sense? Am I overlooking something?

#6 - 11/13/2014 12:33 PM - Gerrit Code Review Bot
Gerrit received a related patchset '1' for Issue #1421.
Uploader: Berk Hess (hess@kth.se)
Change-Id: I0605fe0f735d69f9647612a00434ecce6f232f
Gerrit URL: https://gerrit.gromacs.org/4222

#7 - 11/24/2014 05:38 PM - Mark Abraham
Does the patch in Gerrit suit, Szilard?

#8 - 12/21/2014 03:34 AM - Michael Shirts
OK, grading all done, some time to code.

What's supposed to happen is that it is supposed to use linear coulomb whenever c12 is nonzero at both ends. sc is very inefficient otherwise.
Before 4.5, it didn't do this.

Szilard, can you be more specific about what is not happening? Note I'm about to start working on the files you uploaded, so I will likely find that
soon, but confirmation would help to ensure we are in the right place.

#9 - 12/21/2014 03:38 AM - Michael Shirts
Michael Shirts wrote:

Actually, no files to see what exactly the inputs are doing. . .

OK, grading all done, some time to code.

What's supposed to happen is that it is supposed to use linear coulomb whenever c12 is nonzero at both ends. sc is very inefficient otherwise.
Before 4.5, it didn't do this.
Szilard, can you be more specific about what is not happening? Note I'm about to start working on the files you uploaded, so I will likely find that soon, but confirmation would help to ensure we are in the right place.

#10 - 05/04/2015 08:43 PM - Gerrit Code Review Bot
Gerrit received a related patchset '1' for Issue #1421.
Uploader: Mark Abraham (mark.j.abraham@gmail.com)
Change-id: Ib582d3749c283dcb03504c5ec09f854c518e11a5
Gerrit URL: https://gerrit.gromacs.org/4542

#11 - 05/04/2015 11:37 PM - Gerrit Code Review Bot
Gerrit received a related patchset '1' for Issue #1421.
Uploader: Mark Abraham (mark.j.abraham@gmail.com)
Change-id: I0d974b50db4a4e0966815ec8a064e3c4738e0ef
Gerrit URL: https://gerrit.gromacs.org/4543

#12 - 06/18/2015 08:51 PM - Erik Lindahl
- Status changed from Fix uploaded to Feedback wanted

Both of the previous commits only said they "refs 1421". Michael, what remains to be done here?

#13 - 06/30/2015 01:24 PM - Rossen Apostolov
- Status changed from Feedback wanted to Resolved
- % Done changed from 0 to 100

Applied in changeset 262bf922dec01ec0e0e284d656189cced476a4f33.