

GROMACS - Bug #1883

many velocity-verlet combinations do not produce exact restarts

12/24/2015 10:33 AM - Mark Abraham

Status: Closed	
Priority: Normal	
Assignee:	
Category: mdrun	
Target version:	
Affected version - extra info: 4.6 through to master HEAD	Difficulty: uncategorized
Affected version: 5.1.1	
Description	
<p>NVE and Nose-Hoover+MTTK work correctly with an argon box, but all other combinations have some issue. Often the restart step + 1 has KE that is clearly wrong. It seems there are issues with trying to save a KE quantity to the checkpoint, restart, and then do some (unnecessary?) computation before we enter the loop, then skip the first velocity update, then continue normally. It ought to be straightforward to compare two runs in a debugger, but I can't do that right now.</p> <p>https://gerrit.gromacs.org/#/c/5472 was created to probe this question, and this issue has resulted.</p> <p>Attached is a tarball that permits reproduction at least as far back as release-4-6 HEAD. Haven't tried 4.5, but my suspicion is that the issue has been there since inception.</p>	

Associated revisions

Revision bc0538dc - 01/07/2016 09:13 AM - Michael Shirts

Fixes two problems related to restarts for vv.

The first problem is more serious; in addition to causing problems with restarts in most cases for vv + either berendsen or v-rescale, temperature coupling was called twice, which makes the distribution of kinetic energies too broad (but with the correct average). Affected vv + either v-rescale berendsen T-controls, other choices were unaffected.

In the second problem, the initial step after restarts with vv + v-rescale and berendsen temperature control had too high a pressure because an empty virial matrix that was only filled with MTTK pressure control. The effects of this bug are very small; it only affected the volume integration for one step on restarts.

Refs #1883

Change-Id: I8d807c8430bf4c18b0a4800af62d7f10839b7d59

Revision f5061cec - 01/07/2016 09:14 AM - Mark Abraham

Update md-vv tests

This bug fix requires transformAtoB be updated, so also updated the other test cases that use vv and either v-rescale or Berendsen, in the interests of ensuring they remain stable.

Refs #1883

Change-Id: I2f57ff35f7790e40131e82bf63359119362a4e25

Revision 849aee34 - 01/07/2016 10:20 AM - Mark Abraham

Backport md-vv fixes

This corrects non-Trotter md-vv temperature-coupling behaviour, and fixes the first step of md-vv pressure-coupling volume integration after restarts.

Refs #1883

Change-Id: I8d807c8430bf4c18b0a4800af62d7f10839b7d59

Revision df7f2716 - 01/07/2016 10:50 AM - Mark Abraham

Backport updates for md-vv fixes

Refs #1883

Change-Id: I2f57ff35f7790e40131e82bf63359119362a4e25

Revision efa13a69 - 08/27/2019 06:51 PM - Mark Abraham

Add integration tests for exact restarts

These tests demonstrates the extent to which mdrun checkpoint restarts reproduce the same run that would have taken place without the restart.

I've been working on these, and the bugs they exposed, for a few years, but the code has been fixed for a few years now.

The tests don't run with OpenCL because they have caused driver out of memory issues.

Refs #1137, #1793, #1882, #1883

Change-Id: I8bc441d945f13158bbe10f097e772ea87cc6a559

History

#1 - 12/25/2015 07:12 AM - Michael Shirts

OK, I think I found the difference in the restart vs. nonrestart. When restarting from checkpoint, it sets `bInitStep = True`, even though it's not `step=0`. This may or may not be correct behavior, but will make a difference between two runs when executing the code (around line 1350 in commit 607b74bfd7, can be found by searching for the comment otherwise).

```
/* at the start of step, randomize or scale the velocities (trotter done elsewhere) */
    if (EI_VV(ir->eI))
    {
        if (!bInitStep)
        {
            update_tcouple(step, ir, state, ekind, &MassQ, mdatoms);
        }
    }
```

Clearly, this will not be executed during a checkpoint restart, and will be executed otherwise.

Looking further, it appears that on non-restarted steps, md-vv is calling `v-rescale` twice (here, and in the same place that `leapfrog` does), which seems problematic, it's certainly not intended. I'm not sure if applying it twice gives incorrect results, or just a different effective `tau`.

I'll have to think a little about the correct fix. It likely should be implemented immediately after Mark's kinetic energy integrator cleanup.

#2 - 12/27/2015 10:19 PM - Michael Shirts

Michael Shirts wrote:

Issues with Berendsen restart seem to come from the fact that it's using the previous step's pressure, which with md-vv, is not correctly defined at `step restart+1`, since at the restart step, the pressure is calculated with `force_vir=0` -- strangely, although it correctly calculates the force vir, when restarting from checkpoint, it uploads and incorrectly save `state->fvir_prev`. The solution appears to be replacing the line before copying `svir_prev` over to `shake_vir`

```
if (startingFromCheckpoint && (ir->eI==ieVV))
```

with

```
if (startingFromCheckpoint && bTrotter)
```

Technically, md-vv should be able to use the CURRENT pressure, rather than the pressure from one step back. Since berendsen isn't correct anyway, this is likely to be not important enough to address now (it's should be the same amount wrong that berendsen with md is), and should instead be addressed in the main framework.

I will check this code on top of the clean kinetic energy code.

#3 - 12/27/2015 10:30 PM - Michael Shirts

Looking further, it appears that on non-restarted steps, md-vv is calling v-rescale twice (here, and in the same place that leapfrog does), which seems problematic, it's certainly not intended. I'm not sure if applying it twice gives incorrect results, or just a different effective tau.

I'll have to think a little about the correct fix. It likely should be implemented immediately after Mark's kinetic energy integrator cleanup.

It appears the correct fix end up simply commenting out the first tcouple. That addresses both the restart issue and the double call.

Incidentally, the double call to v-rescale with md-vv does indeed appear to be somewhat insidious. Running some automated check-ensemble validation, it appears to make the distribution too broad (the average is correct). My checkensemble paper was run on a beta version of 4.5 (I should have included the hash for that commit in the paper so I knew for sure!) and v-rescale was fine; however, the bug appears to have crept in soon after that (4.6.7 appears to be incorrect).

This strongly suggests using checkensemble runs as part of the automated process. With a box of argon, this was easily detectable with a 30 second test.

#4 - 12/27/2015 10:33 PM - Michael Shirts

One more bug that probably isn't worth dealing with now -- in all combinations md-vv is not printing out the first restart step to theedr data structure. I seem to recall a discussion of this before; it ends up being a real pain to get this right because of the bookkeeping. All the points match -- it's simply an output quirk. Something, again, to fix with the new integrator structure.

#5 - 12/28/2015 02:05 AM - Mark Abraham

Those code fixes seem useful. Please upload when/if ready.

I agree we want some checkensemble in the testing mix. I plan to deploy it after I get some Docker toys running, since such testing requires a release-mode build that has saved the artefacts.

NB I'm not sure when we introduced the behaviour where the log file reports the git hash, but you may get some joy from that in your pre-4.5 log files.

If I get bored on my flight, I'll poke the history to see when the double call to update_tcouple appeared, in case something is instructive.

Yes, I'm working around that .edr quirk in my new infrastructure. There's a lot worse quirks than that around!

#6 - 12/29/2015 01:53 PM - Gerrit Code Review Bot

Gerrit received a related patchset '1' for Issue [#1883](#).
Uploader: Michael Shirts (michael.shirts@virginia.edu)
Change-Id: I8d807c8430bf4c18b0a4800af62d7f10839b7d59
Gerrit URL: <https://gerrit.gromacs.org/5506>

#7 - 01/03/2016 06:44 PM - Gerrit Code Review Bot

Gerrit received a related patchset '1' for Issue [#1883](#).
Uploader: Mark Abraham (mark.j.abraham@gmail.com)
Change-Id: I2f57ff35f7790e40131e82bf63359119362a4e25
Gerrit URL: <https://gerrit.gromacs.org/5520>

#8 - 01/12/2016 04:38 PM - Mark Abraham

- Status changed from *New* to *In Progress*
- Target version set to 5.1.2

Shortly, these fixes will have been applied to all active branches. Am still working on testing coverage, not sure yet if I'm happy with the state of things.

#9 - 01/27/2016 01:13 PM - Mark Abraham

- Status changed from *In Progress* to *Resolved*
- Target version deleted (5.1.2)

Things have improved a lot, but there's no known issue to target (for the moment).

#10 - 05/08/2016 11:55 AM - Erik Lindahl

- Status changed from *Resolved* to *Closed*

Closed, since there is no known issue to target anymore.

Files

