

## GROMACS - Bug #316

### g\_msd returns incorrect value of self-diffusion coefficient for ngroup > 1

04/22/2009 11:50 AM - Borys Szefczyk

<b>Status:</b> Closed	
<b>Priority:</b> Normal	
<b>Assignee:</b> David van der Spoel	
<b>Category:</b> analysis tools	
<b>Target version:</b> 4.0	
<b>Affected version - extra info:</b>	<b>Difficulty:</b> uncategorized
<b>Affected version:</b>	
<b>Description</b>	
Created an attachment (id=362) Correct (top) and incorrect (bottom) MSD function of CL-	
The bug appeared in calculation of self-diffusion coefficient of CL- and NA+ ions in molten sodium chloride. Program g_msd run with following arguments:	
<pre>g_msd -s nacl_6x6x6_nvt.tpr -f nacl_6x6x6_nvt.xtc -n unit_6x6x6.ndx -b 400 -o msd_nvt_NA.xvg</pre>	
Gives $D[NA+] = 9.1153e-5 \text{ cm}^2 / \text{s}$ (only group NA+ has been selected)	
Similar calculation for CL-:	
<pre>g_msd -s nacl_6x6x6_nvt.tpr -f nacl_6x6x6_nvt.xtc -n unit_6x6x6.ndx -b 400 -o msd_nvt_CL.xvg</pre>	
Gives $D[CL-] = 6.7572e-5 \text{ cm}^2 / \text{s}$ (only group CL- has been selected)	
When the -ngroup switch is used:	
<pre>g_msd -s nacl_6x6x6_nvt.tpr -f nacl_6x6x6_nvt.xtc -n unit_6x6x6.ndx -b 400 -o msd_nvt.xvg -ngroup 2</pre>	
g_msd produces results: $D[CL-] = 6.7572e-5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ $D[NA+] = 3.6442e-5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ if CL- is selected first, or: $D[NA+] = 9.1153e-5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ $D[CL-] = 2.4045e-5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ if NA+ is selected first.	
In other words, only the first self-diffusion coefficient is calculated properly.	
Attached is the graphs showing correct MSD functions of NA+ and CL- and incorrect function when -ngroup is used.	
Hardware:	
uname -a: Linux swift 2.6.27-gentoo-r8 #9 SMP Mon Mar 30 21:42:39 WEST 2009 x86_64 Intel(R) Core(TM)2 Duo CPU P7450 @ 2.13GHz GenuineIntel GNU/Linux	
Software:	
gromacs 4.0.3	

```
gcc 4.1.2
glibc 2.8_p20080602
fftw 3.1.2
atlas 3.8.0
openmpi 1.2.6
```

## History

### #1 - 04/22/2009 11:53 AM - Borys Szefczyk

Created an attachment (id=363)  
Correct (top) and incorrect (bottom) MSD function of CL-

This is an update to the first attachment.

### #2 - 05/18/2009 09:35 PM - David van der Spoel

Can you please upload the input files necessary to reproduce this?

### #3 - 05/19/2009 11:45 AM - Borys Szefczyk

Created an attachment (id=372)  
Input files necessary to reproduce the bug

Commands to reproduce the bug:

```
g_msd -s conf.gro -f traj.xtc -n index.ndx -o msd_CL.xvg  
gives  $D(CL) = 6.7570e-5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ 
```

```
g_msd -s conf.gro -f traj.xtc -n index.ndx -o msd_NA.xvg  
gives  $D(NA+) = 9.1052e-5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ 
```

```
g_msd -s conf.gro -f traj.xtc -n index.ndx -o msd.xvg -ngroup 2  
gives:  
 $D(CL) = 6.7570e-5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$   
 $D(NA+) = -15.0590e-5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ 
```

Note that these files differ from the originals (they have been converted with trjconv, to reduce the size), so the values are somewhat different than originally reported, nevertheless, they reproduce the same bug.

### #4 - 05/28/2009 12:06 PM - Orsolya Gereben

Narrowing the problem: I got the same bad results for CsCl in water solution if more than one group is used for the second and third group the values are wrong. I tried to change the value of trestart, and it become clear, that the msd values of only those time values of the second and third group are wrongly calculated, which were calculated more than one time. For example in a calculation where tbegin=600 tend=2000 using trestart=1400 (only t=0 is calculated twice) only the t=0 value of Cl- and water is wrong  
example output files: using ngroup=3

1. This file was created Thu May 28 11:23:11 2009
2. by the following command:
3. `g_msd -f cscl_7p5_swm4-dp_rig_md.trr -s cscl_7p5_swm4-dp_rig_md.tpr -trestart 1400 -ngroup 3 -b 600 -dt 20 -o cscl_7p5_swm4-dp_rig_md_r1400_msd #`
4. `g_msd` is part of G R O M A C S: #
5. Gys ROWers Mature At Cryogenic Speed #  
title "Mean Square Displacement"  
xaxis label "Time (ps)"  
@ yaxis label "MSD (nm\^2\N)"  
@TYPE xy
6. MSD gathered over 1400 ps with 2 restarts
7. Diffusion constants fitted from time 140 to 1260 ps
8.  $D[ \text{Cs+} ] = 0.9682 (+/- 0.2168) (1e-5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s})$
9.  $D[ \text{CL-} ] = 0.9966 (+/- 0.0586) (1e-5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s})$
10.  $D[ \text{SM2} ] = 1.6362 (+/- 0.0353) (1e-5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s})$   
0 0 10.6588 12.727  
20 0.149103 0.129572 0.216269  
40 0.28486 0.229662 0.394591  
60.0001 0.412598 0.337685 0.597476  
80.0001 0.556104 0.509343 0.78706  
100 0.674206 0.619935 0.994176  
120 0.829292 0.735593 1.20156  
140 0.948805 0.885058 1.3858  
160 1.07421 0.985154 1.56438

using ngroup=1 calculating only for water

1. This file was created Thu May 28 11:24:31 2009
2. by the following command:
3. `g_msd -f cscl_7p5_swm4-dp_rig_md.trr -s cscl_7p5_swm4-dp_rig_md.tpr -trestart 1400 -ngroup 1 -b 600 -dt 20 -o cscl_7p5_swm4-dp_rig_md_r1400_3_msd`

5. `g_msd` is part of G R O M A C S:

7. GROup of MAchos and Cynical Suckers

8. title "Mean Square Displacement"  
 xaxis label "Time (ps)"  
 @ yaxis label "MSD (nm^2/N)"  
 @TYPE xy

9. MSD gathered over 1400 ps with 2 restarts

10. Diffusion constants fitted from time 140 to 1260 ps

11.  $D[\text{SM2}] = 1.6362 (\pm 0.0353) (1e-5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s})$

```
0 0
20 0.216269
40 0.394591
60.0001 0.597476
80.0001 0.78706
100 0.994176
120 1.20156
140 1.3858
160 1.56438
```

#### #5 - 06/02/2010 02:42 PM - David van der Spoel

Sorry for the long delay. I tried using the latest development version:

```
g_msd -f traj.xtc -s conf -n -o koko -ngroup 2
```

and get

```
D[CL-] 6.7570 (/ - 0.1808) 1e-5 cm^2/s
D[NA] 9.1052 (+/- 0.1263) 1e-5 cm^2/s
```

If I run `g_msd` two times on one group at a time I get identical results.  
 However if I add the `-dt` flag things go wrong.

```
echo 2 | g_msd -f traj.xtc -s conf -n -o koko -dt 20
```

Fitting from 0 to 20 ps

Not enough points for fitting (2).  
 Can not determine the diffusion constant.

I have now disabled the `-dt` flag for `g_msd`.

#### Files

1.pdf	37.6 KB	04/22/2009	Borys Szefczyk
msd.png	99.8 KB	04/22/2009	Borys Szefczyk
input_files.tar	3.32 MB	05/19/2009	Borys Szefczyk