

GROMACS - Bug #2319

Affinity setting fails when only starting a single thread

12/02/2017 11:05 AM - Erik Lindahl

| | |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Status: Closed | |
| Priority: Low | |
| Assignee: Berk Hess | |
| Category: mdrun | |
| Target version: 2018 | |
| Affected version - extra info: | Difficulty: uncategorized |
| Affected version: 2018 | |
| Description | |
| When only starting a single thread (-nt 1), thread affinity setting fails with beta1, both on skylake-x with gcc/7.1 and broadwell with gcc-5.4. | |
| It works fine at least when using as many threads as there are hardware threads. | |
| Related issues: | |
| Is duplicate of GROMACS - Bug #2088: affinity setting code issues spurious wa... | Closed |

Associated revisions

Revision 7bc4ec9b - 12/10/2017 05:20 PM - Berk Hess

Tone down note about not pinning threads

The note mdrun prints to stderr and log file when not pinning threads is now a short note instead of the old failure message, since not pinning is often a choice, not a failure. With more than one thread, a failure or longer explanatory note will anyhow have been issued before.

Also removed a warning about OpenMP set affinity when the total number of threads was chosen by mdrun.

Updated the thread affinity tests for the new message. Added tests that are now possible with the recently introduced auto thread count variable.

Fixes #2088 and #2319

Change-Id: I1411a1ce6e222d22da8d70bf7bab2c9bb7564507

History

#1 - 12/03/2017 05:00 PM - Szilárd Páll

- Status changed from New to Blocked, need info

I first thought you observed a regression compared to v2016, but having done multiple builds and tests I can't reproduce at all, so I assume this is simply a duplicate of [#2088](#).

#2 - 12/04/2017 01:36 AM - Erik Lindahl

- File run.tpr added

- File run.log added

Steps to reproduce:

1) Build latest master revision on login.biopysics.kth.se, with default compiler & options (see log for gory details)

2) Run the attached TPR as "gmx mdrun -nt 1 -v -deffnm run" (I don't expect it to be specific to this file, though)

This gives me (100% of the time):

NOTE: Thread affinity setting failed. This can cause performance degradation. If you think your settings are correct, ask on the gmx-users list.

#3 - 12/04/2017 01:39 AM - Erik Lindahl

... and I just noticed that you are right about 2016.3 giving the same error.

In any case: This is a perfectly normal run (just limited to a single core), so either there's a bug in the pinning code, or (if we cannot pin in this case) we shouldn't issue messages telling people to ask on gm-x-users if they think their settings are correct (we know they are here).

#4 - 12/04/2017 12:00 PM - Mark Abraham

Not sure if related, but there is also

```
1 GPU auto-selected for this run.
Mapping of GPU IDs to the 1 GPU task in the 1 rank on this node:
  PP:0
```

```
NOTE: Thread affinity setting failed. This can cause performance degradation.
      If you think your settings are correct, ask on the gm-x-users list.
starting mdrun 'spc-and-methanol'
2 steps,      0.0 ps.
```

from

[http://jenkins.gromacs.org/job/Matrix_PreSubmit_2018/37/OPTIONS=gcc-5%20openmp%20simd=avx_128_fma%20opencl%20amdappsdk-3.0%20hst=bs_nix-amd_gpu.label=bs_nix-amd_gpu/testReport/junit/\(root\)/CTest/MdrunTests/](http://jenkins.gromacs.org/job/Matrix_PreSubmit_2018/37/OPTIONS=gcc-5%20openmp%20simd=avx_128_fma%20opencl%20amdappsdk-3.0%20hst=bs_nix-amd_gpu.label=bs_nix-amd_gpu/testReport/junit/(root)/CTest/MdrunTests/) (which ends in a segfault in what might be the affinity setting code...)

#5 - 12/04/2017 10:14 PM - Berk Hess

We only pin automatically when using the whole machine or when mdrun automatically reduced the number of threads. So we correctly don't pin here, but we should not issue a warning that pinning failed. We should either issue a note that we are not pinning or don't say anything at all.

#6 - 12/04/2017 10:37 PM - Szilárd Páll

OK, so there is nothing to fix here unless this is more than just [#2088](#) -- where solutions were discussed. This is essentially the artifact of work that simplified/unified code-paths so now the same path is taken (and we can't distinguish at the spot where we warn) both the case when affinities are expectedly or unexpectedly not set.

#7 - 12/05/2017 04:42 AM - Mark Abraham

- Is duplicate of Bug [#2088](#): affinity setting code issues spurious warning notes added

#8 - 12/05/2017 04:43 AM - Mark Abraham

Szilárd Páll wrote:

OK, so there is nothing to fix here unless this is more than just [#2088](#) -- where solutions were discussed. This is essentially the artifact of work that simplified/unified code-paths so now the same path is taken (and we can't distinguish at the spot where we warn) both the case when affinities are expectedly or unexpectedly not set.

Agree that this is a dupe. Szilard, can you please work on a resolution?

#9 - 12/05/2017 04:01 PM - Gerrit Code Review Bot

Gerrit received a related patchset '1' for Issue [#2319](#).
Uploader: Berk Hess (hess@kth.se)
Change-Id: gromacs~release-2018~11411a1ce6e222d22da8d70bf7bab2c9bb7564507
Gerrit URL: <https://gerrit.gromacs.org/7291>

#10 - 12/05/2017 04:11 PM - Berk Hess

- Status changed from Blocked, need info to Fix uploaded
- Assignee set to Berk Hess

#11 - 12/10/2017 05:20 PM - Erik Lindahl

- Status changed from Fix uploaded to Resolved

#12 - 12/10/2017 05:21 PM - Erik Lindahl

- Status changed from Resolved to Closed

Files

| | | | |
|---------|---------|------------|--------------|
| run.log | 23.5 KB | 12/04/2017 | Erik Lindahl |
| run.tpr | 354 KB | 12/04/2017 | Erik Lindahl |